

1 Court of Appeals, with which I am sure you are familiar,  
2 that held under the present Constitution, the requirement  
3 that the final votes on passage of a bill be entered in  
4 the journal was mandatory and that in the absence of the  
5 entry of the final votes, the bill was not properly enacted.

6 The Chair would like you to indicate clearly,  
7 so that the Committee of the Whole will be apprized, whether  
8 you intend that kind of result to follow from the last  
9 sentence of this section, beginning on line 17, which is  
10 practically the same as the present Constitution?

11 DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Yes, sir. I don't think  
12 that the committee intended that any one requirement or  
13 any one sentence should have a higher priority than the  
14 other. They are equally, or they are all equally of  
15 dignity.

16 THE CHAIRMAN: Then I take it your answer would  
17 be that you would intend that the same result would follow  
18 from a violation of any of the separate sentences of this  
19 section 3.17?

20 DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Yes, sir.

21 THE CHAIPMAN: Any further questions?